

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,104	01/18/2002	Chul-Hwan Choi	SEC.890	6556
20987	7590 08/19/2005	EXAMINER		
	E FRANCOS, & WHIT?	BRAHAN, THOMAS J		
ONE FREEDO 11951 FREED	OM SQUARE OOM DRIVE SUITE 1260		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA	A 20190		3652	
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of	an Appeal Brief						

7						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/050,104	CHOI ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas J. Brahan	3652				

	Thomas J. Brahan	3652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a)The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) \[The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or to TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	·		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an o	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
11. \(\sum \) The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
13. [] Guiei		Thomas J. Brahan Priman Examiner Art Unit: 3652	Pistor

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The differences detailed for the different embodiments of Muka does not detract from the teaching in Yanagita et al of art recognized equivalents..